

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/720,879	03/26/2001	Harry Wiljan	Q62284 `	6749
75	90 06/23/2005		EXAMINER	
Sughrue Mion Zinn			HALPERN, MARK	
MacPeak & Seas 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20037-3213			1731	
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	[A 1! A - 1			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/720,879	WILJAN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark Halpern	1731			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Most attaction to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status	·					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15 April 2005.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	_					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 11-30 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	drawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers	•				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the other oath or declaration is objected to by	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey correction is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	, ,		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•		
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Elee the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. Iments have been received in e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National S	Stage		
Attachmen	• •	"□				
2) Notice (3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 tr No(s)/Mail Date 3/12/03.	18) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)		

HU

Application/Control Number: 09/720,879 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of invention II, drawn on claims 11-30, in the reply filed on 4/15/2005, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the two inventions claim a single general inventive concept. This is not found persuasive because claim 1 is either obvious or anticipated by patent U.S. 5,377,917.

Accordingly, the special feature linking the two inventions, a coarse dirt collector pivotable into and out of a pulping vessel from above, does not provide a contribution over the prior art, and no single general inventive concept exists. Therefore, the restriction is appropriate.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-10, are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Objections

2) Claim 29 an apparatus claim is dependent from non-elected method claim 1. Should perhaps, claim 29 depend from claim 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/720,879

Art Unit: 1731

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3) Claims 11-30, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim 11 is not clear by recitation "rotational movement about an axis of rotation positioned at an angle to the plane that is vertical to the axis of the pulper vessel". The claim is not clear as to the angle, the plane and the vertical to the axis.

Claim 11 recites the pulper vessel as item (1) in line 3, and as item (2) in line 8.

Claims 12, 13, 14, 25, 26, are not clear as to the term "it".

Claim 17 recites the pulper vessel as item (2) in line 3. The specification recites item (2) to be the rotor.

Claim 17 is not clear how the tines are positioned vertical to the axis of the pulper vessel.

Claims 22, 23, 30, the terms "can be" and "can preferably be" render the claims indefinite.

Claim 27 is not clear as to "the radial line".

Claim 29 recites the limitation "the motions of the moving device" in 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/720,879

Art Unit: 1731

Claim 30 recites the limitation "the system" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 11-19, 24-29, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Wiljan (5,377,917). Wiljan discloses pulping vessel 13 used to process waste paper. The vessel includes a central impeller 14, rotating about a vertical axis by means of motor 15 and belt 16, a centrally located perforate sieve located at the bottom of the vessel, a lock chamber 18 for removal of heavy substances. Wiljan discloses a raking device 22 associated with the pulping vessel 13, and comprises a tine carrier 23 by a support arm, the tine carrier is vertically adjustable and is provided with tines 23' (on a frame grid structure) which

Art Unit: 1731

are adapted to be immersed below the liquid level 24 in the pulping vessel 13 to catch lightweight substances. The raking device has its own support structure independent of the pulping vessel, and reads on the claimed coarse dirt collector. The tine carrier 23 is pivotally movable for its immersed position shown in solid lines in Figure 4 to the position shown in dotted lines outside the pulping vessel so that the lightweight substances which have been caught will then fall from the tines onto a shredder for further processing. The pivotal movement is about an axis of rotation positioned at an angle to the plane that is vertical to the axis of the pulper vessel, or in least it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made that the pivotal movement is about an axis of rotation positioned at an angle to the plane that is vertical to the axis of the pulper vessel (col. 6, line 49 to col. 7, line 27, col. 10, lines 9-36, and Figures 2, 4).

Claims 18-19: the tines are fastened to the structure grid in a fixed manner, or it would have been obvious that the tines are fastened by screws.

Claim 24: support arm bent is disclosed in Figure 4.

5) Claims 20-23, 30, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiljan (5,377,917) in view of Wiljan (6,379,505).

Claim 20: Wiljan ('917) is applied as above for claim 11, Wiljan ('917) does not disclose that the pulper vessel includes a lid. Wiljan ('505) discloses a pulper as shown in Figure 1a. It would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, to combine the teachings of both Wiljan references, because such

Art Unit: 1731

a combination would provide for a means of controlling impurities falling into the pulper vessel of Wiljan ("917).

Claims 21, 23: the lid configuration is shown. It would have been obvious that the lid have a hood and that the lid be open since it has an opening and for good maintenance and operation of the vessel.

Claim 22: bin 28, shown in Figure 2, performs the function of a collecting funnel.

Claim 30: it would have been obvious that the system can be sealed for odorresistance, since the Wiljan ('505) design includes a lid.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 571-272-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/720,879

Art Unit: 1731

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Halpern $\sqrt{}$ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731